Changes in peak expiratory flow and respiratory strength during the menstrual cycle
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Abstract

This study evaluated the spirometry and respiratory static pressures in 17 young women, twice a week for three successive ovulatory menstrual cycles to determine if such variables changed across the menstrual, follicular, periovulatory, early-to-mid luteal and late luteal phases. The factors phases of menstrual cycle and individual cycles had no significant effect on the spirometry variables except for peak expiratory flow (PEF) and respiratory static pressures. Significant weak positive correlations were found between the progesterone:estradiol ratio and PEF and between estrogen and tidal volume ($r = 0.37$), inspiratory time ($r = 0.22$), expiratory time ($r = 0.19$), maximal inspiratory pressure ($r = 0.25$) and maximal expiratory pressure ($r = 0.20$) and for progesterone and maximal inspiratory pressure ($r = 0.32$) during the early-to-mid luteal phase. Although most parameters of the spirometry results did not change during the menstrual cycle, the correlations observed between sexual hormones and respiratory control variables suggest a positive influence of sexual female hormones controlling the thoracic pump muscles in the luteal phase.
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1. Introduction

Women continually experience a wide fluctuation in estrogen and progesterone levels during their menstrual cycles. Previous studies have suggested that respiratory function is influenced by female sexual hormones, especially progesterone, which could increase ventilatory response during the luteal phase at rest (Schoene et al., 1981; White et al., 1983) and at exercise (Williams and Krahenbuhl, 1997). Others studies have shown that progesterone and estradiol act together to produce increased ventilation by acting on receptor-mediated mechanisms in the central and peripheral regulation of respiratory function in rats (Hannhart et al., 1990; Bayliss and Millhorn, 1992). However, in humans, the results are contradictory. For instance, it has
been demonstrated that the response to hypoxia and hypercapnia is higher in the luteal when compared to the follicular phase of the menstrual cycle (Schoene et al., 1981; White et al., 1983; Takano, 1984b, 1988; Williams and Krahenbuhl, 1997), but the chemosensitivity was not associated with hormonal fluctuation, according to Loeppky et al. (2001) and Muza et al. (2001).

These same controversial findings were also recorded in relation to spirometric variables. Das (1998) and Rajesh et al. (2000), found an increase in minute volume, respiratory frequency and a PCO2 decrease in non-athletic women without respiratory alteration during the luteal phase. In contrast, no changes for pulmonary capacities, flows and volumes evaluated by spirometry were described by Chong and Enson (2000). The reason for these conflicting results may be due to the fact that such studies have been performed either in the follicular or luteal phase, or in both, with data collection being made on only 1 day of these phases. Additionally, the menstrual cycle phases have been confirmed using different methodological approaches, by the documentation of the menstrual cycles and/or body temperature (Takano, 1984a; Chong and Enson, 2000; Matsuo et al., 2003), with or without hormonal measurement (White et al., 1983; Edwards et al., 1996; Beidler et al., 1999; Jordan et al., 2000; Loeppky et al., 2001; Muza et al., 2001). Moreover, prospective follow-up across several consecutive menstrual cycles has not been done. Furthermore, within the same phase, variations may be found between peaks and drops in the serum levels of such hormones (Landgren et al., 1980; Yen, 1999), and this may determine different respiratory behavior in each menstrual cycle phase.

So far, there is no evidence that respiratory muscle strength is modified by sexual hormones, although estrogen hormones have an ergogenic effect on skeletal muscles (Phillips et al., 1995, 1996; Sarwar et al., 1996; Reeves et al., 1997). Since inspiratory and expiratory strength is performed by a skeletal muscle component, represented by the intercostal and abdominal muscles that work together with the diaphragm (Neder et al., 1999; Ramovsky et al., 2003), it could be expected that sexual hormones affect respiratory muscle strength.

Therefore, the objectives of this study were: (1) to test if spirometry is modified during the different phases of the menstrual cycle and (2) to investigate if respiratory muscle strength is influenced by female sexual hormones.

2. Methods

2.1. Selection of subjects

The subjects were selected from volunteer undergraduate students of physical therapy using the following criteria: healthy physical condition, non-smokers, no hormone or respiratory drug use, and non-athletic. The women had to have regular 25–35 day menstrual cycles, in addition to not being pregnant or using oral contraceptives. One group of 20 women was formed. An illness complaint questionnaire was completed by the group. The participants signed a consent form and the institutional Ethics and Research Committee approved the study.

2.2. Experimental procedure

The experiment lasted 6 months, divided into two 3-month periods.

2.2.1. Preliminary data collection

During the first 3-month period each woman was asked to record the first and last day of her menstruation on a card. This procedure was performed in order to classify the duration of her menstrual cycles. In addition, the women were instructed to record their oral temperature daily, immediately upon awakening in the morning, before going to the bathroom or ingesting hot or cold liquids, so as not to interfere with the measurement. The oral temperature data were plotted along with the menstrual records to estimate ovulatory occurrence and the length of the menstrual cycle (DeMouzon et al., 1984).

2.2.2. Experimental procedure and pulmonary tests

In the second 3-month period, in addition to documenting menstruation and body temperature, the women were invited to the laboratory for spirometric data collection and blood sampling. This was performed twice a week for reasons of availability. Consecutive data collections were performed between 13:00 and 17:00 h, to avoid the influence of possible
circadian fluctuations, for a total of 24 tests. Volunteers were evaluated in a sitting position, in a laboratory at around 29°C (see Escherbarcher et al. (1992) who demonstrated that spirometry does not change at temperature ranging from 10 to 37°C) with each session lasting approximately 30 min.

The respiratory tests were performed after blood collection with subjects in a sitting position, wearing a plastic nasal clip. Initially, a ventilatory test was performed with a Datospir 70 (Sibel-Spain) digital spirometer connected to a computer. Flow values and pulmonary volumes were verified in the respiratory test through the measure of forced and slow vital capacity. Forced vital capacity (FVC) was verified according to the standard of acceptability and criterion of reproducibility recommended by the Brazilian Respiratory Association (Pereira et al., 1996), observing the best of three measures. Flow values and pulmonary volumes, which are derived from the flow-volume curve, were measured: Forced Expiratory Volume at 1 s (FEV1); Peak Expiratory Flow (PEF); Forced Expiratory Flow 25–75; FEF25–75; FEV1/FVC ratio. The Tidal Volume (TV), Inspiratory (TI) and Expiratory (TE) times were tested breath-by-breath for 1 minute with the spirometer connected to a computer. The measures of maximum respiratory static pressures – Maximum Inspiratory Pressure (MIP) and Maximum Expiratory Pressure (MEP) – were taken from residual volume and total lung capacity respectively, with individuals connected to a manual apparatus, using an analog manometer (GerAr, Brazil, with operational limit of ±300 cm H2O). To measure these last two pressures, the individuals were instructed to make maximum inspiratory effort and maintain it for at least 1 s. Three measures were taken and the highest value was selected. The same maneuver was repeated with maximum expiratory effort, with a 1-min interval between maneuvers. The maneuvers were evaluated by a single examiner who initially explained what a correct maneuver consisted of.

2.2.3. Hormonal measurement and determination of menstrual cycle phases

During this second 3-month period, prior to respiratory data collection and after 5 min rest, a 5 ml blood sample was collected twice a week, totaling 24 samples (on average) for each woman, in order to determine estradiol and progesterone hormone concentrations. On six occasions the blood samples were discarded to hemolysis. All blood samples were analysed at the end of the study by a single biochemist from Laboratório de Dosagens Hormoniais do Centro de Patologia Clínica-Natal, RN (Hormone Dosage Laboratory, Clinical Pathology Center), using chemiluminescence (Diagnostic Products Corporation Immunolite Kits-2000, Los Angeles, CA, USA). The mean intra- and inter-assay coefficients of variation were 6.8% and 9.7% and 5.4% and 8.1% for low and high pools of progesterone and estradiol, respectively.

Body temperature and menstrual cycle data obtained during the second 3-month period of testing, as well as hormone concentrations, were considered in confirming and dividing the menstrual cycle into five phases adapted from Williams and Krahenbuhl (1997), Riley et al. (1999): menstrual, follicular, periovulatory, early-to-mid luteal and late luteal. Considering a 30-day cycle, the menstrual phase was identified as the first to fifth day of menstruation, follicular phase (6–13th day), periovulatory phase (14–16th day), early-to-mid luteal phase (17–27th day) and late luteal phase (28–30th day). Progesterone levels below the expected 5 ng/ml in early-to-mid luteal phase (Stephen et al., 2001) were considered an anovulatory cycle. Each woman was evaluated regardless of her hormonal status, and the cycle phase classification was established only after conclusion of the study. Regarding the variation of individuals, and the difference of phase of menstrual cycle length, each woman could have a different sample size within the phases.

2.3. Statistical analysis

Statistic 5.0 (Statsoft Co.) package was used. Measurements were expressed in mean ± S.D. and coefficient of variation (CV). As the data has a normal distribution, and the experimental design was unbalanced, comparisons within the group (factor phase and cycle) were made with Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) nested to analyze intra-subject differences in all respiratory and hormonal variables. The post hoc Tukey test was used to identify differences among the means. Pearson product-moment correlation coefficient was performed for testing the possible relationships between each subject’s respiratory parameter and hormonal variables. We also performed the same correlation test between the ratios of progesterone estradiol
and estradiol:progesterone and respiratory variables. A significance level of 5% was established for all tests.

3. Results

3.1. Anthropometric measurements and hormone concentrations

Of 20 women selected for the study, subjects 7, 9 and 11 were excluded for presenting at least one irregular menstrual cycle of more than 35 days or three anovulatory cycles (progesterone <5 ng/ml). For this reason, only 17 women completed the 3 months of the study.

The women selected had an average age of 21.6 ± 1.5 years, body weight 54.8 ± 5.1 kg, height 162.4 ± 7.0 cm and body mass index (BMI) 22 kg/m².

Analysis of sexual hormone plasma levels at rest revealed, as expected, differences in estradiol (F(12,338) = 36.45; P < 0.001) across the five menstrual phases and progesterone concentrations (F(12,338) = 30.49; P < 0.001) among the periovulatory, early-to-mid luteal and late luteal phases but not among the three successive cycles for estradiol (F(2,338) = 0.35; P = 0.69) and progesterone (F(2,338) = 1.35; P = 0.26).

The hormone plasmatic levels are shown in Table 1.

3.2. Pulmonary tests

The findings are presented in Table 2 and are within normal parameters for the Brazilian population (Pereira et al., 1992, 1996; Neder et al., 1999). All values were above 80% predicted, indicating normal pulmonary function.

In general, respiratory values from spirometry (FVC, FEV1, FEV/FVC, FEF25-75) were not affected by menstrual cycle phases or individual cycles. Only PEF was different across cycle phases (F(12,359) = 0.68; P < 0.001), and the Tukey test showed that the early-to-mid luteal phase differed from the others. Similar findings were seen for static respiratory pressures. In general, neither MIP nor MEP were different among menstrual cycle phases (F(12,359) = 0.75; P = 0.70 and F(12,359) = 0.26; P = 0.99, respectively) or across the three successive cycles monitored: MIP (F(2,359) = 3.90; P = 0.09) and MEP (F(2,359) = 0.26; P = 0.08). The mean and standard deviation values and coefficient of variation for respiratory parameters among menstrual cycle phases are shown in Table 2.

3.3. Relationship between progesterone and estradiol levels and respiratory variables

There were weak but significant positive correlations in the early-to-mid luteal phase between TV (r = 0.37; P < 0.001), TI (r = 0.22; P = 0.017), TE (r = 0.19; P = 0.035) MIP (r = 0.35; P < 0.001) only in the early-to-mid luteal phase when this value was high. In the remaining phases there was no correlation between estradiol or progesterone and respiratory variables. The results of the significant correlation are shown in Fig. 1.

Analysis of the correlation between the progesterone:estradiol ratio and respiratory variables shows a positive significant correlation only for PEF (r = 0.18; P = 0.042) during the early-to-mid luteal phase. No correlation were found between estradiol:progesterone ratio and the measured variables.

4. Discussion

In the present study spirometry and respiratory static pressures were examined in 17 non-athletic women

---

Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Menstrual (58)</th>
<th>Follicular (106)</th>
<th>Periovulatory (45)</th>
<th>Early-to-mid luteal (127)</th>
<th>Late luteal (36)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Estradiol (pg/ml)</td>
<td>23.25 ± 7*</td>
<td>37.17 ± 5*</td>
<td>168.65 ± 15*</td>
<td>99.94 ± 13*</td>
<td>44.82 ± 8*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Progesterone (ng/ml)</td>
<td>0.49 ± 0.11</td>
<td>0.49 ± 0.11</td>
<td>1.15 ± 0.31</td>
<td>8.80 ± 2*</td>
<td>3.08 ± 1.5*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Average values ± S.D. Numbers in parentheses represent the number of samples in each menstrual phase.

* Significant differences for estradiol among all phases (P < 0.001).
† Significant differences of the menstrual and follicular phases in relation to periovulatory, early-to-mid luteal and late luteal phases (P < 0.001).
‡ Significant differences among periovulatory, early-to-mid luteal and late luteal phases.
Table 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Respiration variables measured during the menstrual cycle phases</th>
<th>MP (58)</th>
<th>FP (112)</th>
<th>POF (45)</th>
<th>ELP (127)</th>
<th>LLP (36)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FVC (l) FEV1 (l/s) FEV1/FVC (%) PEF (l/s) FEF 25–75 (l) TV (ml) TI (s) TE (s) MIP (cm H2O) MEP (cm H2O)</td>
<td>3.51 ± 0.55</td>
<td>2.97 ± 0.48</td>
<td>84.84 ± 9.67</td>
<td>5.65 ± 1.02</td>
<td>3.33 ± 1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MP (58)</td>
<td>FP (112)</td>
<td>POF (45)</td>
<td>ELP (127)</td>
<td>LLP (36)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CV (%)</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ANOVA</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average values ± S.D.; MP: menstrual phase; FP: follicular phase; POF: periovulatory phase; ELP: early-to-mid luteal phase; LLP: late luteal phase. CV: coefficient values of variation (%) among three successive cycles. C1: cycle 1, C2: cycle 2, C3: cycle 3. NS: non-significant among the five phases. Statistical significance (P &lt; 0.001) between ELP and other phases. Numbers in parentheses represent the number of collection in each menstrual phase.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Other studies have also reported that spirometric variables such as FVC and FEV1 do not change during the menstrual cycle phases, despite increased progesterone levels in the luteal phase (Das, 1998; Beidleman et al., 1999). On the other hand, Schoene et al. (1981), White et al. (1983), Takano (1984b), Regensteiner et al. (1990) and Edwards et al. (1996) observed an increase in hypoxic and hypercapnic responses during the luteal phase using the menstrual cycle divided into two phases (follicular and luteal). Results showing variation in respiratory function during the menstrual cycle were also obtained by Williams and Krahenbuhl (1997), who divided the cycle as we did (five phases) and Rajesh et al. (2000), who found alterations related to spirometric variables only for women below the age of 14 years. One reason that could be contributing to the failure of our data to show positive results could be associated with experimental design of the study, since we have different sample sizes across the five menstrual cycle phases. However as we have more than 30 samples in each phase, this effect is minimized when considering the entire group. Indeed, even with a small sample size (n = 17 subjects), the repetition of measures showed a low coefficient of variation across cycles and phases in almost all the variables, reinforcing the homogeneity of our data. Another reason is that in our study the measurements were made during rest with no respiratory challenge. Other authors, who are referenced here, generally made measurements in response to respiratory challenges, such as hypoxia and hypercapnia. Another possible explanation for the absence of variation could be associated with both inter-subject hormonal variations among the cycles and intra-subject variation when considering the same menstrual cycle phases of the three successive cycles. For example, in the middle of the luteal phase there are ex-
Fig. 1. Correlation between estradiol (E_2) and progesterone (P_4) levels and respiratory variables during early luteal phase (significance of 5%).

(A) Between tidal volume (ml) and E_2 (ng/ml), r = 0.36, (B) between inspiratory time (s) and E_2, r = 0.22, (C) between expiratory time (s) and E_2, r = 0.19, (D) between maximum static inspiratory pressure (cmH_2O) and P_4 (pg/ml), r = 0.35, (E) between maximum static inspiratory pressure and E_2, r = 0.24, and (F) between maximum static expiratory pressure (cmH_2O) and E_2, r = 0.19.

Extreme values for estradiol (60–320 pg/ml) and progesterone (5–28 ng/ml) (Landgren et al., 1980), in normal-cycle women. In our study these values were between 24–271 ng/ml of estradiol and 0.33–36.8 pg/ml of progesterone, thus making comparison difficult among individuals who present extreme values. However, our study has the advantage of not having included anovulatory cycles, because in this condition the hormonal environment changes more drastically than when only ovulatory cycles are considered. Although Fig. 1 shows a wide individual variability for both hormonal and respiratory variables, another positive point of the present study is that it included only women with BMI varying over a narrow range (18–25 kg/m^2) which, along
with the other aspects previously discussed might be minimizing these effects.

In our study only PEF, which reflects the degree of resistance in the upper airways, showed a significant increase in the early-to-mid luteal phase in relation to the others and a positive correlation with the progesterone:estradiol ratio. This indicates that PEF was higher when ovarian hormone concentrations were increasing, in accordance with previous studies by Rajesh et al. (2000) and Chong and Enson (2000).

Another important observation in current study shows is that maximum static respiratory pressures do not change with menstrual cycle phases, similar to results of Chen and Tang (1989), but correlate positively with higher estradiol and progesterone in the early-to-mid luteal phase. The evaluation of these pressures directly estimates respiratory muscle strength, and indirectly the capacity to generate air flow to the lungs, which can also be inferred by means of dynamic maneuvers. There are few reports of the association between static respiratory pressure and ovarian hormone measures. A positive correlation between skeletal muscular strength and estrogen levels has been demonstrated for the quadriceps (Sarwar et al., 1996), hand muscles (Greeves et al., 1997) and adductor pollicis muscle (Phillips et al., 1995, 1996). Therefore, this result did suggest the possibility of ovarian hormone effects on the contractile component or on respiratory motor control, as previously reported by Zabka et al. (2001), Behan et al. (2003) and Perez et al. (2003), since diaphragm and intercostal muscles work together in producing inspiratory and expiratory force (Neder et al., 1999; Ratnovsky et al., 2003).

Weak but significant positive correlations were observed among tidal volume values, inspiratory and expiratory time and maximum static respiratory pressures and estradiol levels, only in the early-to-mid luteal phase. In this phase progesterone was positively correlated only with maximum inspiratory pressure values, which could be associated with an increase in PEF. Williams and Krabensbuhl (1997) and Popovic and White (1998) also found a weak correlation between ovarian hormones and respiratory variables whereas Breidman et al. (1999) and Muza et al. (2001) found no association between them in humans. Our results reinforce the idea that, despite progesterone being primarily involved in increased ventilation during the luteal phase (Saarelaanta, 2002; Behan et al., 2003), estradiol could be intensifying the effect of progesterone in humans, as demonstrated by Regensteiner et al. (1990) and rats (Hannhart et al., 1990; Tatsumi et al., 1997). Evidence for an increasing number of progesterone receptors induced by estradiol in the luteal phase has been found in rats by MacLusky and McEven (1978). Moreover, the presence of progesterone (Kastrup et al., 1999) and estradiol (Perez et al., 2003) receptors in the solitary tract and hypoglossal nucleus reinforce the potential for an effect of ovarian hormones on respiratory control in rats. Similar data are not yet available for humans.

In summary, the main purpose of this study was to observe whether spirometric variables and respiratory static pressures vary under different hormonal conditions, considering five menstrual cycle phases. Our data do not support the view that pulmonary capacities and volumes alter across the menstrual cycle during rest and room air because no changes in those values were found. However, correlations observed between estradiol and progesterone levels and some resting ventilatory parameters (TV, ET, ET), respiratory static pressures (MEP, MIP) and PEF suggest a positive influence of female sexual hormones on muscle strength of the thoracic pump during the luteal phase.
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