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Abstract

AIM: To systematically review the literature on the efficacy of noninvasive methods of arresting the progression of non-cavitated occlusal carious lesions in dentin.

METHODS: The Medline/PubMed, LILACS, SciELO and Scopus databases were searched to identify relevant publications through to November 2013. Only clinical trials evaluating the ability of noninvasive methods to arrest the progression of occlusal non-cavitated carious lesions in dentin were included. Screening, data extraction and quality assessment were conducted independently and in duplicate.

RESULTS: Of 167 citations identified, nine full text articles were screened and five were included in the analysis. All papers reported on occlusal fissure sealing using a self-curing glass ionomer (n = 1) or resin-based (n = 4) sealant. Only the use of resin-based sealant to obliterate occlusal fissures arrested the progression of non-cavitated occlusal carious lesions in dentin.

CONCLUSION: Occlusal fissure sealing with a resin-based sealant may arrest the progression of non-cavitated occlusal dental caries. Further clinical trials with longer follow-up times should be performed to increase scientific evidence.

INTRODUCTION

Pit and fissure sealing is a noninvasive approach that has been used since the 1960s to prevent occlusal caries by providing a physical barrier that inhibits the accumulation of microorganisms and food particles. The efficacy and clinical safety of this preventive approach have been well established[1,2].

In restorative dentistry, the treatment of carious lesions has been reviewed many times. Minimally invasive dentistry, the goal of which is to preserve the greatest amount possible of tooth structure, is intended to replace conventional procedures[3]. When an open cavity is diagnosed, the option of restoration tends to be unquestionable. However, it should be noted that caries involving dentine may be present in non-cavitated lesions and that there may be a layer of intact enamel[4].

The use of pit and fissure sealant to seal fissures has
be investigated as a secondary preventive approach to the management of non-cavitated occlusal carious lesions in dentin since it arrested their progression. This non-invasive method may replace the traditional restorative approach as it can be performed with a shorter chair time in the absence of anesthesia. Moreover, it can conserve tooth structure by delaying intervention or minimizing the operative procedure. Although several clinical trials have examined this use of pit and fissure sealants, a systematic analysis of their results is needed to provide scientific evidence for its effectiveness as a secondary preventive approach. Thus, we systematically reviewed the literature on the efficacy of noninvasive methods in arresting the progression of non-cavitated occlusal carious lesions in dentin.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

**Focused question**
The focused question addressed in this review was: “Can noninvasive treatments arrest the progression of non-cavitated occlusal carious lesions in dentin?”.

**Search strategy**
The Medline/PubMed, LILACS, SciELO and Scopus databases were searched to identify relevant studies published through to November 2013. The search strategy employed the following keywords related to the intervention method, type of lesion and outcome.

**Intervention:** “Sealing” or “fissure sealing” or “sealant” or “glass ionomer cement” or “nonoperative” or “non-operative” or “noninvasive” or “non-invasive” or “nonsurgical” or “non-surgical”.

**Type of caries lesion:** [(“dentin” or “dentinal”) and “caries”] and (“occlusal” or “pit and fissure” or “pits and fissures”) and (“non-cavitated” or “noncavitated”).

**Outcome:** “Progression” or “regression” or “arrest” or “arrested” or “arrestment” or “arresting”.

**Inclusion and exclusion criteria**
The selection was limited to clinical trials published in English that evaluated the efficacy of any noninvasive approach in treating non-cavitated occlusal carious lesions in dentin. Review articles, in vitro studies and those reporting only on proximal carious lesions or those in enamel were excluded. Studies that evaluated cavitated lesions were also excluded.

**Screening methods and data extraction**
Two independent reviewers (Assunção IV and Costa GF) selected studies using a two stage screening process. In the first stage, titles and abstracts were screened to eliminate irrelevant articles and those that did not meet the inclusion criteria. In the second stage, the reviewers independently verified study eligibility after reading full texts and data extraction and quality assessment were performed for included studies. Disagreements about the inclusion or exclusion of a study were resolved by consensus and, when necessary, consultation with a third reviewer (Borges BCD). In the analysis of these included studies, the following questions were addressed: (1) Does pit and fissure sealing effectively arrest the progression of non-cavitated occlusal carious lesions in dentin?; (2) Is the noninvasive treatment of non-cavitated occlusal carious lesions in dentin effective in permanent and deciduous teeth?; and (3) Which materials should clinicians use to seal pits and fissures in the management of non-cavitated occlusal carious lesions in dentin? What are the perspectives on the use of alternative sealing materials and techniques?

**RESULTS**
The initial search identified 204 articles (156 articles in Medline/PubMed, 22 articles in LILACS, 8 articles in SciELO and 18 articles in Scopus). Thirty-seven duplicate publications were excluded, leaving 167 potentially eligible articles. First stage screening of titles and abstracts identified nine articles that were qualified for full text screening. The second stage of screening identified five articles that met the inclusion criteria (two studies evaluating cavitated lesions, one article reporting on an invasive treatment and one review article were excluded) (Figure 1).

The content of selected studies is summarized in Table 1.

All five studies analyzed in this review were performed on similar samples of teeth with visually non-cavitated occlusal lesions between the dentin-enamel junction and middle third of dentin. The extent of carious lesions was confirmed by bite-wing radiographic analysis. The similar samples allow precise comparison of
#### Table 1  Summary of studies included in the analysis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author/yr</th>
<th>Study design</th>
<th>Sample characteristics</th>
<th>Analyzed variables and evaluation methods</th>
<th>Tested treatments</th>
<th>Follow up</th>
<th>Results/conclusions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Borges et al (2010)</td>
<td>Randomized controlled clinical trial</td>
<td>Sixty permanent molars in 35 individuals (12-19.5 years old) with non-cavitated carious lesions radiographically located between the dentin-enamel junction and middle third of dentin</td>
<td>Caries progression and sealant loss; clinical and radiographic examinations</td>
<td>Experimental (n = 30): oral hygiene instruction and fissure sealing (Fluoroshield, Dentsply); control (n = 30): oral hygiene instruction</td>
<td>4-mo intervals for 1 year; control group was followed for only 8 mo due to signals of caries progression</td>
<td>Eight teeth (four per group) were lost at 1-year follow up. Clinical and radiographic caries progression was significantly more frequent in control than in experimental teeth. Sealant loss occurred in three cases and resulted in caries progression. Pit and fissure sealant effectively arrested caries progression, suggesting that this intervention may replace traditional invasive treatment of non-cavitated dentinal caries. Eight teeth (four per group) were lost at 1-year follow up; no tooth was lost subsequently. Clinical and radiographic caries progression was significantly more frequent in the control than in the experimental group. Three teeth lost sealant and showed caries progression at the 12-mo follow up. No sealant loss or caries progression was observed at 24 or 36 mo. Pit and fissure sealant effectively arrested carious lesions for a 36-mo period.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Borges et al (2012)</td>
<td>Randomized controlled clinical trial</td>
<td>Sixty permanent molars in 35 individuals (12-19.5 years old) with non-cavitated carious lesions radiographically located between the dentin-enamel junction and middle third of dentin</td>
<td>Caries progression and sealant loss; clinical and radiographic examinations</td>
<td>Experimental (n = 30): fissure sealing (Fluoroshield, Dentsply); control (n = 30): composite restoration (Ice, SDI)</td>
<td>4-mo intervals for 1 year; control group was followed for only 8 mo due to signals of caries progression</td>
<td>No tooth was lost to follow up. The treatment modalities were similarly effective in managing non-cavitated occlusal carious lesions in dentin of primary molars. Three teeth showed partial sealant retention and caries progression after 1 year. The invasive approach can be replaced with non-drilling fissure sealing techniques for the management of these lesions.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Borges et al (2012)</td>
<td>Randomized controlled split-mouth clinical trial</td>
<td>Sixty deciduous molars in 30 schoolchildren (5-9 years old) with non-cavitated carious lesions radiographically located between the dentin-enamel junction and middle third of dentin</td>
<td>Caries progression and sealant loss; control group: secondary caries emergence and clinical failure of composite restorations</td>
<td>Experimental group: fissure sealing (Fluoroshield, Dentsply); control (n = 30): composite restoration (Ice, SDI)</td>
<td>4-mo intervals for 1 year; control group was followed for only 8 mo due to signals of caries progression</td>
<td>No tooth was lost to follow up. Clinical examination showed no significant difference between groups, but radiographic examination showed less caries progression in sealed teeth.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>da Silveira et al (2012)</td>
<td>Randomized controlled clinical trial</td>
<td>Fifty-one permanent molars in 38 individuals (± 12.78 years old) with non-cavitated carious lesions radiographically located between the dentin-enamel junction and middle third of dentin</td>
<td>Caries progression and sealant loss; clinical and radiographic examinations</td>
<td>Experimental (n = 27): oral hygiene instruction and sealing with self-curing glass ionomer cement (Vidrion-R, SS White)</td>
<td>4-mo intervals for 1 year; control group was followed for only 8 mo due to signals of caries progression</td>
<td>No tooth was lost to follow up. Clinical examination showed no significant difference between groups, but radiographic examination showed less caries progression in sealed teeth.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bakhshandeh et al (2012)</td>
<td>Clinical trial</td>
<td>Five premolars and 67 molars in 52 individuals (± 28 years old) in need of restoration. The maximum depth of the lesions, radiographically assessed, was limited to the middle third of the dentin</td>
<td>Caries progression and sealant loss; control group: secondary caries emergence and clinical failure of composite restorations</td>
<td>Control (n = 24): oral hygiene instruction; experimental (n = 60): resin-based fissure sealing (Delton, Dentsply); Control (n = 12): composite restoration (Filtek Supreme XT, 3M ESPE)</td>
<td>6-12-mo intervals for 25-38 (mean, 33) mo</td>
<td>Eleven sealed teeth were lost to follow up; no restored tooth was lost. All restorations and sealants functioned well; seven sealants were repaired/replaced due to failure and three sealed lesions were restored due to caries progression. Sealants arrested most (44/49) lesions; expansion of criteria for therapeutic sealing of occlusal carious lesions in adults will improve dental health.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**DISCUSSION**

**Does pit and fissure sealing effectively arrest the progression of non-cavitated occlusal carious lesions in dentin?**

Four of five studies analyzed reported that sealing arrested the progression of carious lesions when the sealant was intact and tight. These authors used only resin-based fissure sealants and analyzed caries progression by clinical and radiographic examinations. The blockade of the nutritional supply by the mechanical barrier created by the sealant...
seems to be the most plausible explanation for the lack of caries progression observed in those studies. Because total retention is an integral requirement for sealant success, attempts should be made to increase the mechanical strength of sealing materials and their adhesion potential to enamel.

In contrast, de Silveira et al. reported that a self-curing glass ionomer fissure sealant did not arrest caries progression in molars with non-cavitated occlusal dentinal lesions. Although sealed teeth showed no sign of caries progression on radiographic examination, they exhibited visible cavitation after 12 mo of follow-up. The rapid macroscopic loss of self-curing glass ionomer sealant may render fissures susceptible to biofilm adhesion and further acid attack, leading to the emergence of cavitations on occlusal enamel[6].

Some points reported by Borges et al.[3-4] should be emphasized. The authors commented that possible limitations of their studies included the absence of power calculation, the sample size of 60 teeth and the loss of some of the sample at 12 mo, which may have reduced the internal and external validity of these investigations. However, they argued that the remarkable difference in caries progression observed between the sealed and unsealed groups at the 12 mo recall appointments indicated that the sample size was satisfactory for the analysis and that the observation period was appropriate to detect distinct differences in outcomes. The authors further emphasized that the lack of caries progression at 12, 24 and 36 mo recall appointments indicated that the sample size was satisfactory.

One should consider that only papers published in the English language were included in this systematic review. In fact, the most relevant papers are published in English language since it can be read worldwide. For this reason, we believe that data loss due to the exclusion of papers wrote in other languages could not generate a negative impact to the present results.

The minimally invasive approach to carious lesions in dentistry is based on the principle that nonsurgical treatments are preferable to invasive treatments as the former increase tooth longevity. Invasive interventions initiate a cycle of treatment and re-treatment, often leading to the need for crowns and implants, regardless of the quality of the initial filling preparation[10]. Data from studies included in this review demonstrate the great positive impact of a noninvasive approach to the management of non-cavitated occlusal carious lesions in dentin. Further studies with longer follow-up times should be performed to improve the evidence provided by the present systematic review.

Is the noninvasive treatment of non-cavitated occlusal carious lesions in dentin effective in permanent and deciduous teeth?

Borges et al.[8] compared the efficacy of pit and fissure sealing using a resin-based material with that of traditional tooth restoration in the treatment of non-cavitated occlusal carious lesions in the dentin of primary molars. They concluded that the invasive restorative approach can be replaced with the fissure sealing technique that does not require drilling. Borges et al.[3-7] and Bakhshandeh et al.[8] reported that the same noninvasive fissure sealing treatment arrested caries in permanent molars. Thus, this approach appears to be effective in deciduous and permanent molars.

Which materials should clinicians use to seal pits and fissures in the management of non-cavitated occlusal carious lesions in dentin? What are the perspectives on the use of alternative sealing materials and techniques?

The clinical effectiveness of fissure sealing for the management of non-cavitated occlusal carious lesions in dentin depends on complete maintenance of the sealant in fissures[1]. The self-curing glass ionomer cement tested by de Silveira et al. showed high loss rates that prevented it from arresting caries progression, as determined by clinical examination. The authors observed the emergence of cavitations in enamel when the cement was lost. Resin-based fissure sealants showed higher complete retention rates, as reported by Borges et al.[3-5] and Bakhshandeh et al. These superior survival rates suggest that resin-based fissure sealant is preferable to self-curing glass ionomer cement.

Resin-based fissure sealants are adequate for the therapeutic sealing of non-cavitated occlusal carious lesions in dentin. However, the partial and total sealant losses observed by Borges et al.[3-5] and Bakhshandeh et al. limit the effectiveness of this noninvasive therapeutic approach. Researchers have sought to improve sealant adhesion to enamel and/or the physical properties of polymeric networks as sealant adaptation and polymeric network strength may be related to the survival of sealants in tooth fissures[13]. Enamel conditioning with a casein phosphopeptide-amorphous calcium phosphate paste in association with adhesive system application improved the bond durability of a fissure sealant in vitro[13], suggesting that this protocol could increase sealant retention.

In vitro studies have demonstrated the superiority of flowable composites to traditional fissure sealants in terms of physical properties related to polymeric network strength, such as the degree of conversion, hardness and crosslink density[14,16]. Moreover, preheating of flowable composites before photoactivation has been found to increase softening resistance to acid challenge in vitro[17]. In this context, further clinical trials should be performed to evaluate the efficacy of the above described protocols in vivo.

In conclusion, noninvasive therapeutic fissure sealing is effective for the management of non-cavitated occlusal carious lesions in dentin if a resin-based fissure sealant is used. Since only relatively short-time follow-up clinical trials were found, further randomized controlled clinical trials with longer follow-up times should be performed to provide more scientific evidence for the efficacy of therapeutic sealing in the management of these lesions.
Peer review

The present manuscript is a focused and well performed systematic review.
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